INFLUENCE OF GENDER TIME ALLOCATION ON POVERTY STATUS OF RURAL FARMING HOUSEHOLDSINSOUTHWESTERN NIGERIA

ABIGAIL GBEMISOLA ADEYONU

MATRIC NO: 77228

B.Tech. Agric. Animal Production and Health (FUT), Akure M.Sc. Agricultural Economics (UI), Ibadan

A Thesis in the Department of Agricultural Economics, submitted to the Faculty of Agriculture and Forestry in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

UNIVERSITY OF IBADAN, IBADAN

JULY, 2013

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to the Almighty God, the King Eternal, Immortal, Invisible, the only Wise God and the Giver of wisdom, and also to my former supervisor, Late Dr. O. I. Y. Ajani.

ABSTRACT

Evidence has shown that Time Allocation (TA) to various activities by gender has implications for poverty status of households. Yet, there is little empirical evidence on the influence of TA on household poverty in rural Nigeria. Hence, the influence of gender TA on poverty status of rural farming households in Southwestern Nigeria (SWN) was investigated.

Oyo and Osunstates were randomly selected from SWN. Two rural Local Government Areas (LGAs) were randomly chosen from each state. Five villages were randomly selected from each of the LGAs from which200out of 430 multipersonfarming households were randomly selected based on probability proportionate to population of farming households in each village. In each of the selected households, the eldest male and female (who were aged 18-60 years) were interviewed twice on their time use during the rainy and dry seasons. Data were collected on household socioeconomic characteristics and individual respondent's hours allocated to different activities (farm work, non-farm work, housework and leisure) using structured questionnaire. Data were analysed using descriptive statistics, Foster, Greer and Thorbeckeweighted poverty indices, Tobit, ordinary least squares and probit regressions at p=0.05.

Mean age and years of schooling were 46.6 ± 12.5 and 5.4 ± 4.6 years respectively for males and 41.8 ± 12.8 and 4.3 ± 3.5 years respectively for females. Mean household size and farm size were 5.0 ± 2.1 people and 2.3 ± 1.2 hectares respectively. During the Rainy Season (RS), average Farm Work Time (FWT), Non-Farm Work Time (NFWT), Housework Time (HT) and Leisure Time (LT) respectively for males were 7.6 ± 0.6 , 4.7 ± 0.9 , 4.5 ± 1.7 and 7.2 ± 0.1 hours daily. On the other hand, females allocated 6.2 ± 0.7 , 4.3 ± 1.1 , 8.2 ± 1.6 and 5.4 ± 0.2 hours daily. In Dry Season (DS), males allocated 6.3 ± 0.6 , 5.1 ± 1.4 , 4.4 ± 0.9 and 8.2 ± 0.1 hours daily, while those of their female counterparts were 5.1 ± 0.6 , 4.5 ± 0.4 , 9.2 ± 1.6 and 5.3 ± 0.1 hours daily. Males' and females' age significantly decreased their FWT by 0.04 and 0.24 and increased their HWT by 0.01 and 0.04 respectively during RS. Females' years of schooling enhanced their NFWT by 0.04 during DS. Farm size significantly decreased males' and females' NFWT respectively by 0.05 and 0.02 during RS and 0.05 and 0.01 during DS. At monthly poverty lines of N4,054.20 and N3,610.35 for RS and DS, 32.6% and 40.7% of the farming households were poor. Years of schooling of males and females respectively significantly lowered household poverty by 2.5% and 2.6% during RS and 9.5% and 11.1% during DS. The NFWT of males and females reduced household poverty by 0.5% and 0.6% during RS and 0.8% and 0.9% during DS.However, HWT of females increased poverty by 1.3% during RS and 1.9% in DS.

Farming households' time allocationdiffered by gender and season. Male respondents allocated more time to farm work, non-farm work and leisure, while their female counterparts allocated more time to housework during the rainy and dry seasons. Non-farm work time of males and females significantly reduced poverty at all times. The amount of time females allocated to housework aggravated poverty during rainy and dry seasons.

Keywords: Gender time allocation, Farminghouseholds, Rural poverty

Word count: 492

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The realization of this research has involved a multitude of people. It is, therefore, impossible to give adequate tribute to all those individuals who have encouraged, advised, criticized or blessed this work. I thank them all for being there.

In particular, I am indebted to Dr. O.A. Oni who took the responsibility of being my main supervisor when the former, Dr. O.I.Y. Ajani bade this turbulent world farewell. His intellectual resourcefulness, incisive criticism, personal encouragement and support shall continue to remain in my mind. I am also highly grateful to Dr. B. T. Omonona and Professor V. O. Okoruwa for the roles they played as members of my supervisory committee. I want to thank ProfessorA. E. Ikpi,ProfessorM.A.Y.Rahji, Dr. S.A. Yusuf, Dr.T.T.Awoyemi, Dr.I.B. Oluwatayo, Dr.O.A. Obayelu and Dr.A.O. Adepoju who helped me to bring this work to fruition.

My special thanks go to Dr. R.J. Akinlade for her prayers, encouragement and push to see to the early completion of this programme. I will not forget the help, encouragement and very friendly attitudes of the following wonderful people:-Dr L.O. Balogun, Mrs. A. Careem, and my peers in the doctoral program, MrW. Ashagidigbi, Mr J. Odizie, Mrs. I. O. Amao, Mrs. K. Obisesan, Mrs. O. Obi-Egbedi, Mrs. M.A.Agbaje, Mrs. A.M. Durojaiye, Mrs. I. Adeoye, and Mrs. O.O. Olowe. The contributions of Dr. P.M.Dontsop, Mr. A. Fasogbon and my nephew, SayoBamgbose in data analysis are highly appreciated. I also appreciate the contributions of the non-academic staff members of the Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Ibadan at various stages of this work.

I am indebted to our family friends, Dr. and Dr. Mrs. O. Ajari. Their prayers, financial assistance and encouragement contributed to the timely completion of this programme. They are friends in deed. My thanks also go to colleagues in my place of work- Mrs. Y. Akintayo, Mrs. R. Morakinyo, Mrs. B. Jegede, Mr. S. Adeyemo, Mr.J. Adekolegan and Mr.J. Areo. I am thankful to Elder and Pastor Seyinjobi and Reverend Justice KunleIdowu-Faith for their prayers and encouragement while the going was getting tough. To Mrs. D. Asimiyu of the State of Osun Agricultural Development Programme, all my enumerators and the rural households who welcomed and cooperated with me by making out time to participate, especially when their time was in such short supply, I am deeply grateful. Special thanks are due to the village heads and their families and some staff of National Population Commission (NPC) in the study area who provided the enumerators with good accommodation and meals.

I express my profound gratitude to my brothers, Professor Z.O. Opafunso and MrK. M.Opafunso for the roles they played in my academic pursuit. I am highly indebted to my father Mr J.O.Opafunso and my late mother Mrs. S. A. Opafunso. Without the commitment of these wonderful gifts, I will not be where I am today. My mother, how I wish she is still alive to see one of the fruits of her womb attaining this type of greatness. Well, God knows best, sleep on Mama. I acknowledge my former supervisor, Late Dr. O.I.Y. Ajani, who initiated this work and also promised financial assistance before the cold hands of death snatched her while I was preparing for field work. It is my prayer that God will take good care of all she left behind most especially her husband and her children.I owe my niece,Bukola Sunday, a great debt. She took good care of the kids and the home while pursuing a challenging and worthwhile goal. She will not miss her reward in Jesus' name.

Time allocation study of this nature shows that there are painful trade-offs between activities. Hence, my darling husband, Bayo and wonderful children- Temidayo, Demilade and Damilola- the willing participants on this journey, have suffered the most from my involvement in this study. Their prayers, commitment, many sacrifices, understanding and encouragement throughout this programme have made a very difficult experience a little easier to bear. To them all I am profoundly grateful. With this achievement, I hope to commit more of my time endowment to them.

Finally, I bless the name of the Lord for the great things He has done. I am grateful to Him for the sustenance, protection and victory in all life battles.

CERTIFICATION

I certify that this thesis was carried out by Abigail Gbemisola ADEYONU under my supervision in the Department of Agricultural Economics, University of Ibadan, Nigeria.

------Dr. O.A. Oni B.Agric. (O.A.U; Ile-Ife), M.Sc., Ph.D. Agricultural Economics (Ibadan) Senior Lecturer, Department of Agricultural Economics University of Ibadan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title page	i
Dedication	ii
Abstract	iii
Acknowledgements	v
Certification	vii
Table of contents	viii
References	xi
Appendices	xi
List of tables	xii
List of figures	xiv
Abbreviations and acronyms	xv

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1	Background to the study	1
1.2	Statement of problem	3
1.3	Research objectives	7
1.4	Analysis of the objectives	7
1.5	Research hypothesis	7
1.6 Ju	stification of the study	8
1.7	Thesis format	10

CHAPTER TWO: THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Theoretical framework	11
2.2	Literature review	14
2.2.1	Methodological/analytical review	14
2.2.1.	1 Determinants of Time Allocation by Gender	14
2.2.1.2 Measurements of Poverty		16
2.2.1.3 Determinants of Poverty		18
2.2.2 Empirical review		
2.2.2.	1 Studies on gender time allocation	19

2.2.2.2 Studies on household poverty.20		
2.2.2.3 Studies on the influence of gender time allocation on household		
poverty	29	
2.3 Conceptual framework	32	
2.3.1 Concept of work and leisure	32	
2.3.2 Concept of gender and linkage between gender and time		
allocation		
2.3.3 Concepts of poverty	34	
2.3.4Conceptual framework linking gender time allocation and household		
poverty		
CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLY		

CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLY

3.1	The study area	37
3.2	Sources of data	40
3.3	Sampling procedure	40
3.4	Analytical techniques	43
3.4.1	Descriptive statistics	43
3.4.2	Determinants of time allocation to work (Tobit Regression)	43
3.4.3	Determinants of time allocation to leisure (OLS Regression)	49
3.4.4	Poverty line estimation	51
3.4.5	Foster, Greer, and Thorbecke (FGT) Poverty measure	52
3.4.6	Determinants of farming households' poverty status	
(Probi	it Regression)	52
3.5	Definitions of variables used in the empirical models	55
3.6	Data limitations and other methodological problems	56

CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1Descriptive statistics		58
4.1.1	Individual demographic variables by gender	58
4.1.2	Relevant household variables	61
4.1.3	Household income during rainy and dry seasons	64

4.2	Time allocation by gender and season	66
4.2.1	Time allocation to farm work	66
4.2.2	Time allocation to non-farm work	68
4.2.3	Time allocation to housework	70
4.2.4	Time allocation to leisure	72
4.3	Determinants of time allocation by gender and season	75
4.3.1	Determinants of farm work time by gender and season	75
4.3.2	Determinants of non-farm work time by gender and season	79
4.3.3	Determinants of housework time by gender and season	83
4.3.4	Determinants of leisure time by gender and season	87
4.4Pro	ofile of farming households' levelpoverty by gender and season	93
4.4.1	Determination of poverty line	90
4.4.2	Poverty profile by male time allocation to various activities	
during	rainy season	92
4.4.3P	overty profile by female time allocation to various activities	
during	rainy season	95
4.4.4	Poverty profile by male time allocation to various activities	
during	g dry season	98
4.4.5P	overty profile by female time allocation to various activities during	
dry se	ason	103
4.5	Determinants of household poverty by gender factors	103
4.5.1	influence of gender time allocation on farming households' poverty	
status	during the rainy season	103
4.5.21	Households'poverty elasticity by gender factors	
during	rainy season	105
4.5.3	Influence of gender time allocation on farming households' poverty status	
during	the dry season	107
4.5.4]	Households' poverty elasticity by gender factors during dry	
seasor	1	109

CHAPTER FIVE: POLICY IMPLICATIONS, RECOMMENDATIONS AND CONCLUSION

5.1	Summary of major findings	111
5.2	Conclusion of the study	115
5.3	Policy implications of the findings and recommendations	115
5.4	Contributions to knowledge	116
5.5	Suggestions for further study	117
REF	FERENCES	118
APP	PENDICES	129

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 List of sampled villages	42
Table 2 A priori expectations of the explanatory variables used in farm	
Work analysis model by gender	46
Table 3A priori expectations of the explanatory variables used in non-farm	
work time model by gender	47
Table 4 A priori expectations of the explanatory variables used in housework	
time analysis model by gender	48
Table 5 A priori expectations of the explanatory variables used in leisure time	
Analysis model by gender	50
Table 6 A priori expectation with respect to influence of time allocation by	
gender on household poverty	54
Table 7 Selected individual characteristics by gender	60
Table 8a Selected household characteristics	63
Table 8bFarming households' income during the rainy and	
dry season	65
Table 9 Average time spent on farm work by gender and season	67
Table 10 Average time spent on non-farm work by gender and season	69
Table 11 Average time spent on housework by gender and season	71
Table 12 Average time spent on all the activities (work and leisure) by gender	
and season	74
Table 13 Estimate of Tobit regression for the determinants of Farm work time by	ý
gender and season	78
Table 14 Estimate of Tobit regression for the determinants of non-farm work	
time by gender and season	82
Table 15 Estimate of Tobit regression for the determinants of housework time by	/
gender and season	86
Table 16 Estimate of Ordinary Least Square regression for the determinants of	
leisure time allocation by gender and season	89
Table17 Average monthly expenditure of farming households on food and	
non-food Items	91

Table 18 Household poverty profile by male time allocation variables	
(rainy season)	94
Table 19 Household poverty profile by female time allocation variables	
(rainy season)	97
Table 20 Household poverty profile by male time allocation variables	
(dry season)	99
Table 21 Household poverty profile by female time allocation variables	
(dry season)	102
Table 22 Probit regression of the influence of gender time allocation on	
households poverty during the rainy season	104
Table 23 Estimates of households' poverty elasticity by gender	106
Table 24 Probit regression of the influence of time allocation by gender on	
household poverty during rainy season	108
Table 25 Estimates of household poverty elasticity by gender	110

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Conceptual framework of the study	36
Figure 2	Map showing the six southwestern states of Nigeria	39

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ADP	Agricultural Development Programme
FAO	Food and Agricultural Organization
FGT	Foster Greer Thorbecke
FOS	Federal Office of Statistics
IFAD	International Fund for Agricultural Development
LDCs	Less Developed Countries
LGA	Local Government Area
MDG	Millennium Development Goal
MPCHH	E Mean per Capita Household Expenditure
NAPEP	National Poverty Eradication Programme
NBS	National Bureau of Statistics
NEEDS	National Economic Empowerment and Development Strategy
NPC	National Population Commission
NSA	National System of Account
NUFU	Norwegian Program for Development, Research and Education
OLS	Ordinary Least Squares
SAP	Structural Adjustment Programme
SSA_1	Statistics South Africa
SSA	sub-Saharan Africa
UN	United Nations
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme