

## THERAPEUTIC EFFECT OF LACTIC ACID BACTERIA ISOLATED FROM FRESHLY- HARVESTED HONEY AND ITS COMB AGAINST FOOD AND WOUND BORNE –PATHOGENS

<sup>1</sup>S.M. Adeyemo, <sup>2</sup>F.T. Afolabi, and <sup>3</sup>E.D. Ogunlusi

<sup>1,3</sup>Department of Microbiology, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria; and <sup>2</sup>Department of Microbiology, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria.

[Received February 17, 2016; Accepted June 2, 2016]

[Communicated by Prof. Francesco Marotta]

**ABSTRACT:** *Honey has been extensively studied in the treatment of wounds but its efficacy in clinical practice is not fully understood. Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) have been used as bio-preservative in fermented foods. This work was carried out to evaluate the antagonistic effect of LAB present in fresh honey and its comb against some pathogens. LAB were isolated from five replicate samples of freshly harvested honey and its comb and identified as Lactobacillus casei, Lactobacillus acidophilus, Lactobacillus brevis, Lactobacillus plantarum and Lactobacillus lactis. Lactic acid, Diacetyl and Hydrogen peroxide (g/l) production were determined for 5 days. L. plantarum and L. brevis exhibited the highest and the lowest antimicrobials production, respectively. Agar well diffusion method was used to monitor the antagonistic activities against some pathogens. L. plantarum and L. brevis gave the highest zones of inhibition ranging from 15-23mm on P. aeruginosa and S. aureus from food samples. They compete favourably with standard antibiotics set up as controls. Lactic acid bacteria demonstrated a significant antimicrobial activity; this shows its potential as probiotics in food preservation and its therapeutic effect in wound treatment. This also justifies the efforts directed by individuals in using honey to treat diabetic wounds.*

**KEYWORDS:** Antagonistic activities, Antimicrobial compounds, Food borne pathogens, Honey, Lactic acid bacteria.

*Corresponding Author:* S.M. Adeyemo, Food and Industrial Microbiology Unit, Department of Microbiology, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile Ife, Osun State, Nigeria. Telephone: +234 (0) 706 970 0215; E-mail: adeyemostella@gmail.com.

### INTRODUCTION

From ancient times, honey was not only used as a natural sweetener but also as a healing agent. Many health-promoting and curative properties attributed to it are the basis for some traditional folk medicine treatments throughout the world today. Of the consumers who use honey, ninety-three percent consider honey a healthful product, recognizing it as a pure, natural product. Fifteen percent think of it as a good home remedy (National honey board, 1997). Moreover, a number of characteristics of honey contribute to its antimicrobial activity. The enzymatic glucose oxidation reaction and some of its physical properties are considered to be the major factors. Other factors include high osmotic pressure/low water activity (Aw), low pH/acidic environment, low protein content, high carbon to nitrogen ratio, low redox potential due to the high content of reducing sugars, a viscosity that limits dissolved oxygen and other chemical agents or photochemicals (Snowden and Cliver, 1996). Some Honey researchers, including Molan (1999) has written an extensive review of their research on the antimicrobial factors in honey. He summarized the key aspects of his research in his different reports (Molan, 1999, 2002 and 2009).

According to Molan (2009) and other researchers, honey is a supersaturated sugar solution with a low water activity (Aw), which means that there is little water available to support the growth of bacteria and yeast. They opined that the natural acidity of honey would inhibit many pathogens. The minimum pH value for some species that commonly infect wounds ranges from 4.0-4.5. Dilution of honey, especially with body fluids, will raise the pH and lessen the antibacterial effectiveness that results from its acidity (Snowden and Cliver, 1996; NHB 1997; Malike *et al.*, 2004; Molan, 2009).

In addition, several authors explained in their work that Glucose oxidase is an enzyme secreted by the bees to form

honey from nectar. This converts glucose in the presence of water and oxygen to gluconic acid and hydrogen peroxide. The resulting acidity and hydrogen peroxide preserve and sterilize the honey during the ripening process. Full-strength honey has negligible amounts of hydrogen peroxide and active glucose oxidase. Transition ions and ascorbic acids rapidly decompose hydrogen peroxide to oxygen and water while the low pH inactivates the enzyme. However, dilution of honey results in a 2,500-50,000 increase in enzyme activity and a "slow-release" of antiseptic that does not damage tissues (Snowden and Cliver, 1996; NHR 1997; Malike *et al.*, 2004; Molan, 2009).

Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) on the other hand display numerous antimicrobial activities in the fermented foods. This is mainly due to the production of bacteriocins, organic acids, ethanol, Hydrogen peroxide, Diacetyl and reuterin. Lactic acid bacteria play an important role in food industry by increasing nutritional values of food and food safety (Zhennai, 2000; Adeyemo and Onilude, 2013 and 2014). The antimicrobials produced by LAB have been used widely as bio-preservatives and shelf life extender and has found application in many industries and various commercial purposes. *Lactobacillus spp* is the main genus of LAB and it plays an important role in balancing microflora in the gut ecosystem of human and animals. LAB has also been isolated from freshly harvested honey and its comb (Lee, 2002; Brashears *et al.*, 2005; Canibe *et al.*, 2007).

Recently, it was reported that, bacterial metabolites also display a wide inhibitory activity against various pathogens such as *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella typhimurium* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (Savadogo *et al.*, 2006; Gaggia *et al.*, 2010; Thanh *et al.*, 2010). Nowadays people are faced with a lot of health problems caused by their life style. Human cardiovascular disease is the most important problem in many countries due to hyper cholesterol levels (Kim *et al.*, 2008). LAB such as probiotics has a long history of application and consumption by human as safe (Holzapfel *et al.*, 2001).

*P.aeruginosa* and *S. aureus* are ubiquitous bacterial strain which may occur in soil, animal or human skin or other parts such as feces, water food etc. They are associated with different diseases and infections in man and animals especially patients with low resistance whose immune system has been compromised or suppressed. They also invade burns, wounds and cause urinary tract infections (Nudda *et al.*, 2006). A variety of selective and differential media has been proposed, particularly for the isolation and identification of these organisms especially from clinical, environmental, and food samples and their resistance to major antibiotics and their patterns. Some problems may arise especially when quantitative recovery is required or in cases where large numbers of competing flora are present (Holzapfel *et al.*, 2001; Nudda *et al.*, 2006).

The aim of this work is to evaluate the antagonistic effect of lactic acid bacteria present in fresh honey and its comb against Gram positive and negative bacteria isolated from food and wound samples. It also involves the use of the probiotics

lactic acid bacteria as a source of novel antibiotics and their therapeutic effects against these antibiotic resistant organisms.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Sample Collection

Five replicate samples of freshly harvested honey and its comb were purchased at different locations in Osun State, Nigeria. They were transported to the Laboratory in sterile containers for further analysis.

### Culture Media and Isolation of Organisms

MRS (de Man Rogosa and Sharpe) broth and agar medium, Nutrient agar, and Peptone water were prepared and homogenized according to the manufacturer's instructions. Sterilization of medium was carried out at 121°C for 15 mins, and cooled to a temperature of 45°C before pouring. The method of (Harrigan and McCance, 1998) was used for the isolation. The plates were left to solidify, inoculated in triplicates, and inverted. MRS plates were incubated at 30°C for 48 hours under anaerobic conditions. After the incubation period, representative colonies of various isolates were selected at random and sub-cultured repeatedly to obtain a pure culture.

### Culture Preservation

Pure cultures of LAB isolates were subcultured into MRS agar slants and incubated at 30°C until growth becomes visible. It was then overlaid with glycerol and kept at 4°C in the refrigerator for further use.

### Characterization of the Test Isolates

Characterization of isolates was carried out by employing macroscopic, microscopic and standard biochemical tests (Olutiola *et al.*, 1991).

### Macroscopic Examination

The isolates were examined for growth type, shape, elevation, size, margin pigmentation and consistency.

### Inoculum Preparation

The inoculum was prepared by inoculating a colony picked from each of the LAB plates into a sterile 9ml MRS broth using a sterile inoculating loop. The inoculated test tubes were incubated for 5 days and the antimicrobial compounds produced were determined on days 0, 3, and 5 respectively. The pH of the growth culture was also recorded daily.

### Preparation of Cell Free Culture of LAB

The culture broth for antimicrobial activities was prepared separately; this was centrifuged at 10000 rev/min for 15 minutes. The cell free culture supernatant was labeled as the supernatant. This was used for the antimicrobial susceptibility test.

### Determination of Lactic Acid production by the Isolates.

Estimation of lactic acid produced was determined by

titration of 25ml of broth cultures of the test organisms (24hr old) with 0.1N NaOH. 3 drops of phenolphthalein were added as indicator. NaOH was then added slowly to the sample until a pink colour appeared. Each ml of 0.1N NaOH is equivalent to 90.08mg of lactic acid as stated in A.O.A.C (2000).

$$\text{Titrateable acidity of lactic acid} = \frac{\text{ml NaOH} \times \text{N NaOH} \times \text{M.E} \times 100}{\text{Volume of sample used}}$$

ml NaOH = Volume of NaOH used  
 N NaOH – Normality of NaOH  
 M.E = Equivalent factor = 90.08mg  
 A.O.A.C (2000)

#### Determination of Diacetyl production by the Isolates

Diacetyl produced by the isolates was estimated by measuring 25ml of the broth cultures of the test isolates (24hrs) into conical flasks and 7.5ml hydroxylamine solution was used for residual titration. The flasks were titrated with 0.1N HCl to a green-yellow end-point using bromophenol blue as indicator. The equivalent factor of HCl to diacetyl is 21.52mg.

$A_k = (b - s) (100 - e) / w$   
 Ak = Percentage of diacetyl  
 b = Number of ml of 0.1N HCl consumed in titration of the sample  
 e = Equivalence factor = 21.52mg  
 w = Volume of sample  
 s = Number of ml of 0.1N HCl condensed in titration of residue sample  
 A.O.A.C(2000).

#### Determination of Hydrogen Peroxide production by the Isolates

About 20ml of diluted  $H_2SO_4$  were added to 25ml of the broth cultures of the test organisms (24hrs). Titration was carried out with 0.1N  $KMnO_4$ . Each ml is equivalent to 1.70mg of  $H_2O_2$  and decolorization of the sample was regarded as the end point.

$$H_2O_2 \text{ Concentration} = \frac{\text{ml } KMnO_4 \times NKMnO_4 \times M.E \times 100}{\text{Ml } H_2SO_4 \times \text{Volume of sample used}}$$

**TABLE 1. The antimicrobial production (g/l) of Lactic acid bacteria.**\*Antimicrobial values of LAB expressed as mean of three determinations  $\pm$  standard deviation. \*\*Means with the same superscript are not significantly different. **Key:** L.A, D.A and H.P represent Lactic acid, Diacetyl and Hydrogen peroxide.

DAYS	0 (H.P)g/l	3 (H.P)g/l	5(H.P)g/l	0 (L.A)g/l	3 (L.A)g/l	5 (L.A)g/l	0 (D.A)g/l	3 (D.A)g/l	5 (D.A)g/l
<i>L.casei</i>	**0.02 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.010	0.03 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.010	0.04 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.006	1.10 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.100	1.30 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.100	1.80 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.100	0.13 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.010	0.14 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.006	0.16 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.010
<i>L.plantarum</i>	0.03 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.006	0.04 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.006	0.05 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.006	1.3 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.100	1.8 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.100	1.7 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.150	0.13 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.010	0.16 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.006	0.17 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.015
<i>L. brevis</i>	0.01 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.001	0.02 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.010	0.03 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.010	1.1 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.100	1.5 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.153	1.3 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.058	0.11 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.015	0.13 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.006	0.17 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.010

Ml  $KMnO_4$  = Volume of acid used  
 N $KMnO_4$  = Normality of  $KMnO_4$   
 Ml  $H_2SO_4$  = Volume of  $H_2SO_4$  added  
 M.E = Equivalent factor = 1.70mg(A.O.A.C, 2000).

\*\*\*The tests were carried out in replicates.

#### Standardization of Test Organisms

The test microorganisms were standardized by using 0.5 McFarland standards. 0.5 McFarland gives approximate cell density of  $3.0 \times 10^8$  Cfu/ml and optical density of 0.500 at wavelength of 600 nm. The microbial suspensions were prepared in their respective sterile nutrient broth and were compared with that of the standard (Andrews, 2001).

#### Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

Samples with high yields of antimicrobial compounds were selected for the antimicrobial susceptibility test. 3ml from each of the samples was transferred into 10ml of a fresh sterile MRS broth and incubated for 72 hours. The samples were centrifuged at 10,000rpm and decanted to remove the cells. 1 ml of standardized inoculum was introduced into the plates and the plates were replicated (*P. aeruginosa* and *S.aureus*). Sterile nutrient agar of about 20mls was poured on plates and allowed to solidify. Holes were bored in the plates at 4 different angles using a 6 mm diameter cork borer. 1ml of the LAB cultures were introduced into the holes. Controls were set up with uninoculated MRS broth, standard Antibiotics and honey. Plates were then incubated for 18hours and the zones of inhibition recorded.

#### Statistical Analysis

Data obtained were subjected to ANOVA and Duncan Multiple Range of Variables.

#### RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Samples of freshly harvested honey and its comb were used in this study. A total of 12 LAB isolates were obtained and identified as *L. casei*, *L. brevis*, *L. acidophilus* and *L. plantarum*. The percentages of occurrence of these isolates obtained are *L. brevis* (33.3%), *L. plantarum* (33.3%), *L. casei* (16.7%) and *L. acidophilus* (16.7%).

The antimicrobial production of Lactic acid, diacetyl and hydrogen peroxide of *L.casei*, *L. plantarum* and *L. brevis* at day 0, 3 and 5 is recorded in Table 1. It was observed that the

production of antimicrobials increased from day 0-5 and there was a decrease after day 5.

The Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of the LAB isolates against wound and food- borne pathogen (*P. aeruginosa*) is shown in Table 2. It was observed that *L. plantarum* gave the highest zone of inhibition (20mm), this was followed by *L. brevis* (12mm) and least was observed in *L. casei* (8mm). The Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of the LAB isolates against food- borne pathogen (*P. aeruginosa*), *L. brevis* gave the highest zone of inhibition of (19mm), followed by *L. plantarum* (16mm) while *L. casei* (12mm) gave the least.

**TABLE 2. Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of the LAB isolates against wound- borne pathogen and food- borne pathogen (*P. aeruginosa*).**\*Data obtained are antimicrobial susceptibility patterns values of LAB expressed as mean of three determinations  $\pm$  standard deviation. \*\*Means with the same superscript are not significantly different.

LAB Isolates	<i>P. aeruginosa</i> (wound borne pathogen) (mm)	<i>P. aeruginosa</i> (food borne pathogen) (mm)
<i>L. casei</i>	**08 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 1.000	12 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 1.000
<i>L. plantarum</i>	20 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 1.000	16 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 1.000
<i>L. brevis</i>	12 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 1.000	19 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 1.000

**TABLE 3. The Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of LAB against food- borne pathogen (*S. aureus*).**\*Data obtained are antimicrobial susceptibility patterns values of LAB expressed as mean of three determinations  $\pm$  standard deviation. \*\*Means with the same superscript are not significantly different.

LAB Isolates	<i>S. aureus</i> (food borne pathogen) (mm)
<i>L. casei</i>	**17 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 1.000
<i>L. plantarum</i>	18 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 1.000
<i>L. brevis</i>	12 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 1.000

The Antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of LAB against food- borne pathogen (*S. aureus*) is shown in Table 3. *L. plantarum* gave the highest zone of inhibition (18mm); this was followed by *L. casei* (17mm) and *L. brevis*, the least (12mm).

Honey is well known for its health benefits and it has been used as traditional medicine for many years (Dobrowolskiet al., 1991; Bankova, 2005). Honey contains ceramic acid, antioxidant agent and some flavonoids, which have been approved for antibacterial applications (Rahman et al., 2010). Malika et al. (2004) suggested that the activity of honey varies depending on its origin, type of flowers, the region, the nature of bees, the breeding techniques and the time of harvest to its use. Zumla and Lulat (1998) reported that fresh honey is a very good inhibitor to *Escherichia coli*, *Salmonella* and *Shigella*.

During twentieth century, it was reported that fresh honey as having good antimicrobial properties along with

therapeutic potential in wound healing. Honey has been studied extensively and found most effective in nearly all types of wound healing, an abrasion, abscess, amputation, burns, fistula, etc. are found to be responsive to honey therapy. Application of honey as wound dressing leads to rapid healing by stimulation of healing process, clearance of infection and cleansing action of wounds (Malika et al., 2004).

In this study, a total of 12 LAB were obtained from freshly harvested honey and its comb which produced effectively various types of LAB isolates. There was a challenge initially in isolating the organisms because most of the honey samples that were obtained were not fresh. LAB can be isolated from honey when they are freshly harvested. This agrees with the report of Malika et al. (2004). and Rahman (2010) that claimed that the activity of honey depends on origin, breeding techniques and time of harvest.

All the Lactic acid Bacteria fermented glucose, mannitol and fructose and this agreed with the work of (Holt et al., 2000) who reported the ability of members of the LAB to ferment glucose and other sugar such as lactose, sucrose and maltose. All isolates grew at 20°C and none grew at 70°C. Some isolates thrive well at pH 9.4 which was identified as *L. casei*, *L. brevis*, *L. plantarum* and *L. brevis* respectively and after which they were chosen to check for their further antimicrobial production.

In addition, all the LAB isolates in this work produced lactic acid, diacetyl and hydrogen peroxide at varying degrees. This observation is in accordance with the report of Ogunbanwo et al. (2004). They reported that LAB produced the antimicrobial bacteriocin against some pathogens.

Furthermore, the antimicrobial susceptibility test of LAB isolates against food- borne pathogen (*S. aureus*) showed that *L. plantarum* had the highest zone of inhibition. This may be attributed to the low pH in which the organisms grow. This agrees with the work of Fernandez et al. (2001) and Ogunbanwo et al. (2004) who reported that Lactic acid bacteria exert a strong antagonistic activity against food contaminating microorganisms (Spoilage and Pathogenic). Moreover, the antimicrobial susceptibility test against wound- borne pathogen (*P. aeruginosa*) showed that *L. brevis* gave the highest zones of inhibition against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. The antibacterial activity of these organisms may be due to the production of lactic acid that lowered the pH of the medium or competition for nutrients or antibacterial compounds. This agree with earlier reports by worker like Bezkorvainy (2001) and Tambeka et al. (2009) on the nature of antimicrobial compounds produced by LAB e.g. Lactic acid and their relationship with lowered pH. Additionally, the inhibitory ability of LAB could be due to the presence of various types and concentrations of antimicrobial substances, such as bacteriocins and organic acids (Savadogo et al., 2006). This research however agrees with their report.

## SUMMARY

Lactic acid bacteria can produce different metabolites having antimicrobial and probiotic activities in freshly harvested

honey. However, the production of these antibacterial agents can be influenced by biological (the type of starter organism used), physical and chemical parameters under different environmental conditions and the time of harvest of the honey. Our result strongly support that the cell free supernatant of lactic acid bacteria isolated from freshly harvested honey and its comb have good antibacterial activity against clinical and standard human pathogens.

Cultivation of these potential isolates under optimum condition can lead to the production of potential antibacterial agents (like lactic acid, hydrogen peroxide and others) having therapeutic, preservative as well as probiotics activity. This approach may help reduce health problems attributed to consumption of foods contaminated with human pathogens (Adeyemo and Onilude, 2013 and 2014). Therefore, isolation and characterization of novel strains of lactic acid bacteria from freshly harvested honey may lead the pathway to discovery of novel antibacterials for the control and treatment of antibiotics resistance infectious diseases.

#### DECLARATION OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- Adeyemo, S.M., Onilude, A.A. (2013). Enzymatic Reduction of Anti-nutritional factors in soybeans by *Lactobacillus plantarum* isolated from fermenting cereals, *Nigerian Food Journal* **13**:71-79.
- Adeyemo, S.M., Onilude, A.A. (2014). Reduction of Oligosaccharide content of soybeans by the action of *L. plantarum* isolated from fermented cereals, *African Journal of Biotechnology* **13**:3790-3796.
- Andrews, J.M. (2001). Determination of minimum inhibitory concentrations, *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy* **48**:5-16.
- AOAC (2000). Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists, 17th Edition, Gaithersburg, U.S.A. pp.78-105.
- Bankova, V. (2005). Recent trends and important developments in Propolis Research, Evidence-Based *Complementary Alternative Medicine* **2**: 29-32.
- Bezkorovainy, A. (2001). Probiotics: determinants of survival and growth in the gut, *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **73**: 399S-405S.
- Brashears, M. M., Amezquita, A., Jaroni, D. and Steve, L. T. (2005). Lactic Acid Bacteria and their uses in Animal Feeding to Improve Food Safety, *Advances in Food and Nutrition Research* **50**: 1-31.
- Canibe, N., Virtanen, E. and Jensen, B. B. (2007). Effect of acid addition to pig liquid feed and its microbial and nutritional characteristics, *Livestock Science* **108**: 202-205.
- Dobrowolski, J.W., S.B. Vohora, K. Sharma, S.A. Shah and S.A.H. Naqvi (1991). Antibacterial, antifungal, anti-amoebic, anti-inflammatory and antipyretic studies on *propolis* bee products, *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* **35**: 77-82.
- Fernandez, E.T., Marakchi, F.O., Jerga, S. (2001). Stress response in *Lactic acid bacteria*. *Protetomics*, **8**:3154-3160.
- Gaggia F, Mattarelli P, Biavati, B (2010). Probiotics and prebiotics in animal feeding for safe food production, *International Journal of Food Microbiology* **24**:12-19.
- Harrigan, W.F. and McCance, M. E. (1998). *Laboratory Methods in Food and Dairy Microbiology* 3rd Edition. Academic Press, London. pp.123-154.
- Holzappel, W.H., H.Aberer, P., Geisen, R., Björkroth, J. and Schillinger, I. (2001). Taxonomy and important features of probiotics microorganisms in food and nutrition, *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **73**: 365S-373S.
- Kim, Y., Whang, J.Y., Whang K.Y., Oh, S., Kim, S.H. (2008). Characterization of the cholesterol reducing activity in a cell-free supernatant of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* ATCC 43121, *Journal of Bioscience, Biotechnology and Biochemistry* **72**: 1483-1490.
- Lee, Y.A. (2002). Purification and characterization of bacteriocin produced by *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* RW18 isolated from steamed fish (*Rastrelliger* sp.), M.Sc Thesis, Faculty of Food Science and Biotechnology, University of Putra Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Malika, N., F. Mohamed. and Chakib, E. (2004). Antimicrobial activities of natural honey from aromatic and medicinal plants on antibio-resistant strains of bacteria, *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology* **6**: 289-293.
- Molan, P.C. (1992). The antibacterial activity of honey and the nature of antibacterial activity, *Bee World* **23**:235-28.
- Molan, P.C. (2002). Variation in the potency of the antibacterial activity of honey, *Bee World* **73**: 59-76.
- Molan, P.C. (2009). Honey as an antimicrobial agent. Waikato Honey Research Unit, University of Waikato, [http://honey.bio.waikato.ac.nz/honey\\_intro.shtml](http://honey.bio.waikato.ac.nz/honey_intro.shtml), [http://honey.bio.waikato.ac.nz/honey\\_1.shtml](http://honey.bio.waikato.ac.nz/honey_1.shtml), [http://honey.bio.waikato.ac.nz/honey\\_2.shtml](http://honey.bio.waikato.ac.nz/honey_2.shtml), [http://honey.bio.waikato.ac.nz/honey\\_ref.shtml](http://honey.bio.waikato.ac.nz/honey_ref.shtml). Retrieved 12/10/2015
- Nudda, G, Battacone, A., Bencini, R., (2002). Fermented

milk peptides, *Dairy sheep feeding and nutrition* **23**: 230-235.

Ogunbanwo, S.T., Sanni, A. I. and Onilude, A.A. (2004). Effect of bacterocinogenic *Lactobacillus spp* on the shelf life of *fufu*; a traditional fermented cassava product, *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology* **20**:57-63.

Olutiola, P.O., Famurewa, O. and Sonntag, H.G. (1991). An introduction to general Microbiology, A Practical Approach. 1<sup>st</sup> ed., Heidelberg Verlagsanstalt and Druckerei GmbH Heidelberg, Germany, ISBN: 3-89426-0, Pp 1-103.

Rahman, M. M., A. Richardson. and M. Sofian-Azirun, (2010). Antibacterial activity of propolis and honey against *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Escherichia coli*., *African Journal of Microbiology Research* **4**: 1872-1878.

Savadogo, .A. C., Oluttara, A.T., Bassole, I. H. and Traore, A.O. (2006). Bacteriocin and Lactic acid bacteria: A mini review, *African Journal of Biotechnology* **5**:678-683.

Snowden, J.A. and Cliver, D.O. (1996). Microorganisms in honey, *International Journal of Microbiology* **31**: 1-26.

Tambekar, D. H., Bhutada, S. A., Choudhary, S. D. and Khond, M. D. (2009). Assessment of potential probiotic bacteria isolated from milk of domestic animal, *Journal of Applied Bioscience* **15**: 815–819.

Ten Brink, B., Minekus, M., Van der Vossen, J.M., Leer, R.J, Huis Veld, J.H. (2004). Antimicrobial Activity of *Lactobacilli*: Preliminary characterization and optimization of production of acidocin B., a novel bacteriocin produced by *L.acidophilus* M46, *Journal of Applied Bacteriology* **77**:140-148.

UNIVERSITY OF IBADAN LIBRARY